

# PUBLIC SAFETY CLOUD STANDARDS (PSCS)

## Vendor Disclosure & Due Diligence Questionnaire

### Version 1.2

Prepared for: \_\_\_\_\_

Vendor Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Date Submitted: \_\_\_\_\_

## 1. Purpose of This Document

The Public Safety Cloud Standards (PSCS) Vendor Disclosure Questionnaire is intended to provide public safety agencies with transparent, structured insight into a vendor's cloud architecture, operational maturity, security posture, and long-term viability.

This document is to be completed by the vendor.

Vendors are expected to provide:

- Direct written responses to each section
- Supporting documentation where requested
- Clear identification of any areas not currently implemented
- Contact information for follow-up clarification

Responses will be reviewed and independently scored by the requesting agency or advisor.

## 2. Response Instructions

For each section:

1. Provide a written narrative response.
2. Indicate current maturity status.
3. Attach supporting documentation where applicable.
4. Clearly mark any roadmap or future-state items.

Where documentation cannot be shared due to confidentiality, please describe the control in detail.

## 3. Critical Capability Disclosure

If any of the following are not currently implemented, please indicate clearly:

- Defined RTO and RPO
- Multi-Availability Zone deployment
- Immutable backups
- Documented Incident Response Plan
- Historical uptime reporting
- Shared Responsibility Model documentation
- Customer breach notification timeline

If any item above is unchecked, provide explanation below:

## 4. Corporate Stability & Strategic Viability

Please provide:

- Years in business
- Ownership structure (public/private)
- Number of total public safety customers
- Number of live cloud customers
- Customer retention rate
- Dedicated cloud engineering team structure
- Dedicated SRE function (if applicable)
- 24/7 on-call coverage model
- 5-year product roadmap summary
- Any acquisitions in past 5 years
- Pending litigation that could impact service continuity

Attachments requested:

- Financial summary
- Organizational chart
- Product roadmap overview
- Customer references

Vendor Response:

# 5. Cloud Architecture & Hosting Model

## A. Hosting Architecture

- Cloud provider(s) utilized
- Single-tenant or multi-tenant architecture
- Deployment model (containerized, VM-based, serverless)
- Infrastructure-as-Code usage
- Deployment automation strategy
- Blue/Green or Canary deployment strategy
- Rollback capability

Vendor Response:

## B. Availability & Resilience

- Published uptime SLA
- Alignment with hosting provider SLA
- Multi-Availability Zone deployment (describe architecture)
- Multi-region capability (if applicable)
- Automatic failover (describe testing frequency)
- Historical uptime reporting availability
- Customer-observable failover demonstration availability

Vendor Response:

## C. Scalability

- Auto-scaling capability
- Load testing practices
- Capacity planning methodology

Vendor Response:

# 6. Security & Compliance

## A. Certifications

Indicate current certifications and provide documentation where available:

- SOC 2 Type II
- CJIS Compliance
- ISO 27001
- Annual third-party audit
- Independent penetration testing

Vendor Response:

## **B. Data Security**

- Encryption at rest
- Encryption in transit
- Key management approach
- Role-based access control
- Multi-factor authentication enforcement
- Backup architecture (including immutability)

Vendor Response:

## **C. Security Operations**

- 24/7 Security Operations Center
- Centralized logging & SIEM
- Documented incident response plan
- Customer breach notification timeline
- Annual tabletop exercises

Vendor Response:

# 7. Disaster Recovery & Business Continuity

Please provide:

- Defined RTO
- Defined RPO
- Whether RTO/RPO include incident declaration time
- Cross-region replication architecture
- Disaster recovery testing frequency
- Whether production participates in DR testing
- Whether test results are shared with customers
- Backup frequency and retention
- Shared Responsibility Model documentation
- Defined customer responsibilities

Attachments requested:

- DR policy
- DR test summary
- Backup documentation

Vendor Response:

## 8. Network Connectivity & Agency Dependencies

- Connectivity model (VPN, Direct Connect, other)
- Bandwidth requirements
- Edge device requirements
- Offline operational capability
- Latency tolerance thresholds
- Satellite internet compatibility testing
- Agency-side monitoring requirements

Vendor Response:

## 9. Data Ownership, Portability & Exit Strategy

- Data ownership terms
- Data export format
- API access availability
- Exit clause summary
- Data return timeline
- Data deletion certification process
- Migration assistance offered

Vendor Response:

## 10. Integration & Interoperability

- Documented APIs
- Integration with CAD/RMS/Jail/Mobile
- State/NLETS connectivity
- Third-party integration fees
- Integration support included

Vendor Response:

## 11. Operational Transparency & Observability

- Public status page
- Historical uptime reporting
- SLA tracking methodology
- SLA credit issuance process
- Change management process
- Maintenance communication process
- Application Performance Monitoring tools used

- Infrastructure monitoring tools used
- Synthetic monitoring usage
- Customer-accessible dashboards

Vendor Response:

## 12. Support & Customer Success

- 24/7 support model
- Defined response SLAs
- Escalation path
- Dedicated account management
- Onboarding process
- Training program

Vendor Response:

## 13. Financial Model & Cost Transparency

- Pricing model
- Annual escalator terms
- Infrastructure pass-through costs
- Data storage overage costs

- Integration/migration fees
- Minimum term requirements
- SLA enforcement process

Vendor Response:

## 14. Product Maturity & Engineering Practices

- Release cadence
- Downtime during releases
- DevSecOps practices
- Automated testing
- Feature flags
- Rollback capability
- Customer feedback integration

Vendor Response:

## 15. Risk Concentration & Dependencies

- Single cloud provider dependency
- Single region deployment risk

- Key personnel concentration risk
- Subcontractor dependencies
- Third-party service dependencies

Vendor Response:

## 16. Vendor Attestation

I certify that the information provided in this document is accurate to the best of my knowledge and represents current operational capabilities.

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Title: \_\_\_\_\_

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_